



Phage-Encoded LuxR-Type Receptors Responsive to Host-Produced Bacterial Quorum-Sensing Autoinducers

Justin E. Silpe,^a  Bonnie L. Bassler^{a,b}

^aDepartment of Molecular Biology, Princeton University, Princeton, New Jersey, USA

^bHoward Hughes Medical Institute, Chevy Chase, Maryland, USA

ABSTRACT Quorum sensing (QS) is a process of cell-to-cell communication that bacteria use to orchestrate collective behaviors. QS relies on the cell-density-dependent production, accumulation, and receptor-mediated detection of extracellular signaling molecules called autoinducers (AIs). Gram-negative bacteria commonly use *N*-acyl homoserine lactones (AHLs) as their AIs, and they are detected by LuxR-type receptors. Often, LuxR-type receptors are insoluble when not bound to a cognate AI. In this report, we show that LuxR-type receptors are encoded on phage genomes, and in the cases we tested, the phage LuxR-type receptors bind to and are solubilized specifically by the AHL AI produced by the host bacterium. We do not yet know the viral activities that are controlled by these phage QS receptors; however, our observations, coupled with recent reports, suggest that their occurrence is more widespread than previously appreciated. Using receptor-mediated detection of QS AIs could enable phages to garner information concerning the population density status of their bacterial hosts. We speculate that such information can be exploited by phages to optimize the timing of execution of particular steps in viral infection.

IMPORTANCE Bacteria communicate with chemical signal molecules to regulate group behaviors in a process called quorum sensing (QS). In this report, we find that genes encoding receptors for Gram-negative bacterial QS communication molecules are present on genomes of viruses that infect these bacteria. These viruses are called phages. We show that two phage-encoded receptors, like their bacterial counterparts, bind to the communication molecule produced by the host bacterium, suggesting that phages can “listen in” on their bacterial hosts. Interfering with bacterial QS and using phages to kill pathogenic bacteria represent attractive possibilities for development of new antimicrobials to combat pathogens that are resistant to traditional antibiotics. Our findings of interactions between phages and QS bacteria need consideration as new antimicrobial therapies are developed.

KEYWORDS LuxR, phage, acyl homoserine lactone, autoinducer, lysis, lysogeny, quorum sensing

Bacteria engage in the cell-cell communication process called quorum sensing (QS) to coordinate group behaviors. QS relies on production, release, and group-wide detection of extracellular signal molecules called autoinducers (AIs). QS-mediated communication systems are now known to be common in the bacterial domain (1). Growing evidence suggests that viruses of bacteria, called phages, also possess communication capabilities, an idea that originated when Hargreaves et al. reported the presence of genes resembling those encoding Gram-positive bacterial QS components (*agr*) on a phage infecting *Clostridium difficile* (2). More-recent studies show that phages can engage in their own QS-like dialogs to promote population-wide lysogeny (3), and phages can eavesdrop on bacterial QS-mediated communication to promote

Citation Silpe JE, Bassler BL. 2019. Phage-encoded LuxR-type receptors responsive to host-produced bacterial quorum-sensing autoinducers. *mBio* 10:e00638-19. <https://doi.org/10.1128/mBio.00638-19>.

Editor Lotte Søgaard-Andersen, Max Planck Institute for Terrestrial Microbiology

Copyright © 2019 Silpe and Bassler. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the [Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International license](https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/).

Address correspondence to Bonnie L. Bassler, bbassler@princeton.edu.

This article is a direct contribution from a Fellow of the American Academy of Microbiology. Solicited external reviewers: Susan Gottesman, NCI-NIH; Graham Hatfull, University of Pittsburgh.

Received 12 March 2019

Accepted 14 March 2019

Published 9 April 2019

population-wide lysis (4). In the eavesdropping case, which is most pertinent to the observations we report here, a vibriophage encodes a homolog of a *Vibrio* QS receptor/transcription factor called VqmA. Host-encoded VqmA and phage-encoded VqmA (VqmA_{phage}) both bind the same AI, 3,5-dimethylpyrazin-2-ol (DPO). In the case of the host, binding of DPO by VqmA launches the QS group behavior program (5). In the case of the phage, binding of DPO by VqmA_{phage} activates the lysis program, and the phage kills the host. Thus, the vibriophage lysis-lysogeny decision is linked to the population density status of the host cells (4).

Inspired by the above findings, we wondered whether additional phage-bacterium QS connections could exist. To explore this possibility, we performed database analyses scanning for QS receptors encoded by viral genomes. We focused on genes encoding *N*-acyl homoserine lactone (AHL)-binding LuxR-type receptors/transcription factors because they exist in thousands of sequenced genomes of Gram-negative bacteria, making them an easily recognizable type of QS AI-receptor pair. Our bioinformatic search for receptors belonging to the “transcription factor LuxR-like, AI-binding domain” superfamily of proteins (InterPro IPR036693) revealed 12,285 AHL-binding LuxR domain entries encoded by bacteria and two additional entries in a category outside living organisms, among viruses. One hit was present on a putative *Myoviridae* phage (GenBank accession no. [MH622937.1](https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/nuccore/MH622937.1)) in metagenomic data from animal viruses (Christopher Buck, NIH, personal communication; see Fig. S1A in the supplemental material). Because this DNA sequence is not a verified phage and the phage does not exist in a known or available host bacterial strain, we could not pursue this finding further. The second viral *luxR*-type gene was on a characterized phage that infects *Aeromonas* sp. strain ARM81 (accession no. [KT898133.1](https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/nuccore/KT898133.1)). This phage is called Φ ARM81d (6) (Fig. 1A), and the gene designated *p37* encodes a putative LuxR-type transcription factor. While we were unable to obtain *Aeromonas* sp. ARM81 or the resident phage, we synthesized the *p37* gene and cloned it into a recombinant expression vector. Hereafter, we call the protein encoded by *p37* LuxR _{Φ ARM81d}.

Commonly, bacterial LuxR-type receptors require their cognate AHL ligands to fold and, in turn, become soluble (7). To determine whether the phage-encoded LuxR _{Φ ARM81d} receptor follows the same constraints, we expressed HALO-tagged LuxR _{Φ ARM81d} in *Escherichia coli*. SDS-PAGE and Western blotting with HALO-Alexa₆₆₀ showed that the LuxR _{Φ ARM81d} protein was produced and present in the whole-cell lysate, but not in the soluble fraction (Fig. 1B). We reasoned that LuxR _{Φ ARM81d} might bind the C4-homoserine lactone (C4-HSL) AI produced by members of the host *Aeromonas* genus (8). Indeed, Fig. 1B shows that the addition of C4-HSL to the *E. coli* carrying HALO-LuxR _{Φ ARM81d} enabled the HALO-LuxR _{Φ ARM81d} to fold and become soluble, as it was present in both the whole-cell lysate and the soluble fraction. To examine ligand specificity, we assessed the ability of a panel of AHL AIs with various tail lengths (C4, C6, and C8) and decorations (3-OH and 3-O) to solubilize LuxR _{Φ ARM81d}. LuxR _{Φ ARM81d} is solubilized by C4-HSL, but not by AHLs with C4 tails containing decorations (Fig. 1B). AHLs containing acyl tails longer than C4 or a longer tail and a decoration (3-O-C6-HSL) display diminished abilities to solubilize LuxR _{Φ ARM81d} compared to C4-HSL (Fig. 1B). These results demonstrate that, like bacterial LuxR-type receptors which often show exquisite specificity for their cognate AHL AIs, the phage-encoded LuxR _{Φ ARM81d} receptor is solubilized primarily by the AHL AI reportedly produced by its *Aeromonad* host genus.

There are no homologs identical to LuxR _{Φ ARM81d} in the NCBI database; however, LuxR _{Φ ARM81d} shares ~48% identity with the bacterial QS receptor encoded by the human pathogen *Aeromonas hydrophila* called AhyR (8) and ~60% homology to a LuxR-type receptor on a DNA contig from an uncharacterized isolate of *Aeromonas popoffii* CIP 105493 (9). Curiously, *A. popoffii* CIP 105493 has at least two genes encoding putative LuxR receptors (Fig. S1B). The first *A. popoffii* homolog, with 48% identity to LuxR _{Φ ARM81d}, shares 95% identity with *A. hydrophila* AhyR and is located in the same genomic context as *ahyR* in *A. hydrophila* (neighboring a putative *luxI* gene called *ahyI*), indicating that this first homolog is likely the *A. popoffii* bacterial QS




FIG 1 Phage Φ ARM811d encodes a QS LuxR-type receptor that is solubilized by bacterial AIs, and *A. popoffii* is lysogenized by a phage-like element similar to Φ ARM811d. (A) Genome organization of phage Φ ARM811d. *p37* is predicted to encode a LuxR-type receptor (orange). The phage replication gene *repA* is displayed in white. (B) Western blot (top) and total protein (bottom) showing HALO-LuxR _{Φ ARM811d} in the whole-cell (WC) lysate and soluble (S) fractions of recombinant *E. coli* supplied with 75 μ M of the indicated AHL or an equivalent volume of DMSO. The black arrowheads indicate the positions of HALO-LuxR _{Φ ARM811d}. M denotes marker (PageRuler Plus; representative bands are labeled). Regarding the differences in band intensities, Coomassie stains total protein, folded and unfolded, whereas the HALO-Western technique requires that the HALO tag be functional for detection. Thus, the HALO-LuxR _{Φ ARM811d} bands in the WC samples (Continued on next page)

Downloaded from <http://mbio.asm.org/> on July 24, 2019 by guest

receptor. The second *A. popoffii* homolog has less than 50% identity to *A. hydrophila* AhYR but, as mentioned, shares ~60% identity to LuxR_{ΦARM81ld} (Fig. S1B). This gene is located on an ~47-kb contig that, by PHASTER analysis (10), is predicted to be an intact phage, suggesting that like LuxR_{ΦARM81ld}, this second *A. popoffii* luxR gene exists on a phage. Consistent with this idea, we found that, as is the case for *Aeromonas* sp. ARM81 (6), lysis of *A. popoffii* is induced by the DNA damaging agent mitomycin C (MMC). Specifically, a precipitous decline in OD₆₀₀ over time occurs after the addition of MMC (Fig. S2A). DNA specific to the phage-like contig can be amplified from the clarified supernatants of DNase-treated culture lysates, suggesting that phage particles are present. Furthermore, PCR amplification of the putative phage origin and primase gene required for replication (*repA*), ligation of the product to an antibiotic resistance cassette, followed by introduction and selection in *E. coli*, show that the ligated product can be maintained as a plasmid. This final result demonstrates that the luxR-containing contig encodes a functional replication gene for a plasmid-like element, which is the reported state in which ΦARM81ld exists when it lysogenizes its *Aeromonas* host (6). Lastly, the contig carrying this putative phage luxR gene is comparable in length to the genome of phage ΦARM81ld (46.8 kb in *A. popoffii* versus 47.6 kb in ΦARM81ld) and can be aligned along its entire length to the complete ΦARM81ld genome (60.1% pairwise identity; Fig. 1C). Thus, we hypothesize that *A. popoffii* harbors an extrachromosomally replicating prophage encoding a luxR QS gene similar to that of ΦARM81ld. We hereafter refer to the phage in *A. popoffii* as Apop and the phage LuxR receptor as LuxR_{Apop}. Similar to the vibriophage-*Vibrio* case described above (4), because of the low sequence identity, the QS receptors encoded on ΦARM81ld and on Apop do not appear to be the result of direct transfer from the bacterial host.

The predicted AhYR-like QS receptors encoded by the *A. popoffii* and *Aeromonas* sp. ARM81 bacterial hosts share more than 90% identity, and yet the corresponding phage-encoded receptors LuxR_{Apop} and LuxR_{ΦARM81ld}, respectively, are only ~60% identical to one another (Fig. 2A; see also Fig. S2B and S2C). This disparity in amino acid identity between the phage LuxR proteins led us to wonder whether the LuxR_{Apop} AI binding preferences were the same or different from those of LuxR_{ΦARM81ld}. Of the seven AHLs in our test collection, recombinant LuxR_{Apop} is solubilized by C4-HSL and also by C6- and C8-HSL, but it is not solubilized by AHLs containing tail decorations (Fig. 2B). Consistent with the idea that the two phage receptors recognize the AI produced by their bacterial hosts, cell-free culture fluids from *A. popoffii* activated an *E. coli* reporter strain that generates light specifically in response to exogenously supplied C4-HSL (Fig. 2C), and LC-MS analysis of the *A. popoffii* culture fluid showed that C4-HSL was present (Fig. 2D) in amounts comparable to those reported for other C4-HSL-producing bacteria (>10 μM by both assays) (11). We could not quantify C6- or C8-HSL in the *A. popoffii* fluids, suggesting that those molecules are either not made by *A. popoffii* or they exist below the limit of our method (~500 and 250 nM for C6- and C8-HSL, respectively). Taken together, our results suggest that, like ΦARM81ld, the Apop phage encodes a functional LuxR receptor that binds to and is solubilized by C4-HSL, an AI produced by its bacterial host.

We do not yet know what target promoters are controlled by these phage LuxR receptors nor how QS drives phage biology. In the characterized vibriophage-*Vibrio* case, the addition of AI causes lysis when it is bound by the phage QS receptor. We found that addition of C4-, C6-, or C8-HSL to *A. popoffii* does not induce lysis (Fig. S3), and as mentioned, we were unable to obtain the *Aeromonas* sp. ARM81 host strain to

FIG 1 Legend (Continued)

show only the fraction of the protein that is folded and functional, and thus, they appear fainter than in the corresponding bands in the S fractions that show all of the folded and functional protein. Consistent with this interpretation, the Coomassie-stained gel shows that there is indeed more total HALO-LuxR_{ΦARM81ld} present in the WC fractions than in the S fractions. (C) Whole-phage-genome alignment of the known phage ΦARM81ld against the full-length *A. popoffii* phage-like contig. Nucleotide sequence identity is shown on the vertical axes. The lengths of the aligned genomes are shown on the horizontal axes. The rectangles below each plot represent predicted ORFs. The upper and lower boxes represent the plus and minus strands, respectively, on which the ORFs are encoded. The black arrowheads indicate the locations of the phage luxR genes. Alignment was performed using the progressive Mauve algorithm (see Methods).




FIG 2 Host and phage harbor distinct LuxR proteins, and the phage LuxR_{Apop} protein is solubilized by the AI produced by its host. (A) Phylogenetic analysis of three bacterially encoded LuxR proteins (AhyR from *A. hydrophila* and the LuxR-type receptors from *Aeromonas*

(Continued on next page)

Downloaded from <http://mbio.asm.org/> on July 24, 2019 by guest

test for a similar effect with phage Φ ARM81Id. We suspect that, beyond the AI, the phage Φ ARM81Id and Apop QS receptor-controlled pathways could require some additional input that is not present in our experiments. Alternatively, the phage QS receptor-controlled pathways could regulate a different aspect of phage-host or phage-phage biology, for example, superinfection exclusion. We are testing such possibilities now.

The Apop LuxR-type receptor was revealed through analysis of sequencing data of its host, *A. popoffii*, a bacterium not previously known to harbor a phage. We take this finding as preliminary evidence that additional QS components are encoded on phage genomes and await discovery. It appears that while different members of the same bacterial genus possess highly similar QS receptors, the QS receptors on their resident phages are more varied. Whether this finding hints at general principles underlying evolution of phage-encoded QS receptors can be resolved with the identification of additional examples. Our hypothesis is that the LuxR-type receptors allow the phages to eavesdrop on host bacterial communication and, using the information they glean, the phages optimally alter their biology. This hypothesis mirrors the mechanism described for the vibriophage-*Vibrio* case described above (4). Other phage-bacterium QS interactions are also possible. For example, the uncharacterized *Myoviridae* sp. mentioned above that was identified in our initial search for viral QS receptors, encodes a predicted AHL-binding receptor, and located immediately upstream is an ORF predicted to encode a LuxI-type AI synthase (Fig. S1A). This arrangement suggests a model similar to the phage-phage arbitrium system (3), but with the added complexity that the host could produce and/or respond to the same QS AI as the phage. These occurrences, primarily garnered from database searches, exemplify, as first substantiated by Hargreaves et al., that phage-bacterium QS interactions may be common (2). Future work could be aimed at identifying the regulons controlled by these phage QS components, whether they are phage specific, host specific, or whether they drive both phage and host biology, and their consequences to both the phage and the host.

Methods. (i) Bacterial strains, plasmids, and growth conditions. Strains and plasmids used in this study are listed in Tables S1 and S2 in the supplemental material, respectively. *E. coli* strains and *A. popoffii* CIP 105493 were grown with aeration in Luria-Bertani (LB-Miller, BD-Difco) broth. Unless otherwise indicated, *E. coli* was grown at 37°C and *A. popoffii* was grown at 30°C. Where appropriate, the following antibiotics and concentrations were used: 100 μ g ml⁻¹ ampicillin (Amp) (Sigma), 100 μ g ml⁻¹ kanamycin (Kan) (GoldBio), and 10 μ g ml⁻¹ chloramphenicol (Cm) (Sigma). The following inducers and concentrations were used: 200 ng ml⁻¹ mitomycin C (MMC) (Sigma), 0.1% L-arabinose (Ara) (Sigma), and 200 μ M isopropyl β -D-1-thiogalactopyranoside (IPTG) (GoldBio). Unless otherwise indicated, all autoinducers (AIs) were used at 75 μ M. WuXi AppTec provided AIs except for 3-OH-C4-HSL, which was made in-house, and C6-HSL, which was obtained from Cayman Chemical.

(ii) Cloning techniques. Primers used for plasmid construction and for generating the *luxR* _{Φ ARM81Id} dsDNA gene block sequence are listed in Table S3 (Integrated DNA Technologies). The publicly available annotation of *luxR* _{Φ ARM81Id} (*p37*) associated with Φ ARM81Id (GenBank accession no. [KT898133](https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/nuccore/KT898133)) was used as the reference with the

FIG 2 Legend (Continued)

sp. strain ARM81 and *A. popoffii*) and the phage-encoded LuxR-type receptors (*LuxR* _{Φ ARM81Id} and *LuxR*_{Apop}). Branch lengths are indicated. (B) Western blot (top) and total protein (bottom) showing HALO-*LuxR*_{Apop} in the whole-cell (WC) lysate and soluble (S) fractions from recombinant *E. coli* supplied with 75 μ M of the indicated AHL or an equivalent volume of DMSO. The black arrowheads indicate the positions of HALO-*LuxR*_{Apop}. M denotes marker (PageRuler Plus; representative bands are labeled). (C) Bioassay to detect C4-HSL based on the *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* RhlR receptor and the target *rhlA* promoter. The *E. coli* bioassay strain does not produce C4-HSL. Thus, *PrhIA-lux* expression depends on exogenous C4-HSL. Shown is *PrhIA-lux* activity following the addition of 25% (vol/vol) of cell-free culture fluids prepared from the indicated strains. Bioluminescence is shown in relative light units (RLU). Data are represented as means plus standard deviations (error bars) for three biological replicates. The top and bottom dotted lines designate the reporter activity in response to 10 μ M C4-HSL and after the addition of an equivalent volume of DMSO solvent, respectively. (D) LC-MS chromatogram of 10 μ M C4-HSL (green), C6-HSL (blue), and C8-HSL (red) standards in filtered LB medium and the same analyses of cell-free culture fluids prepared from *E. coli* and *A. popoffii*. Intensity is shown in arbitrary units (AU). The data show three biological replicates for culture fluids and three technical replicates for the standards.

exception that, based on our own sequence alignments, the *luxR*_{ΦARM81d} start codon is more likely 4 codons downstream from the annotated start site.

The T7-based vector for production of the two phage LuxR proteins was constructed so that both proteins had identical C-terminal linkers to a TEV cleavage site and HALO-HIS₆ tag. The backbone for the expression vectors was prepared by PCR amplification of pJES-178, a vector derived from pH6HTC (catalog no. G8031; Promega Corp.), with primers JSO-1268 × JSO-1435. The amplification strategy generated an N-terminal PacI site and a blunt C-terminal TEV-HALO-HIS₆ tag. The amplified backbone product was treated with DpnI, CIP, and PacI. The *luxR*_{ΦARM81d} insert was prepared by PCR amplification of JSgblock-93 with JSO-1438 × JSO-1440, followed by treatment with PacI and ligation into the backbone to generate pJES-179. The *luxR*_{Apop} insert was prepared by PCR amplification of total DNA extracted from *A. popoffii* CIP 105493 with JSO-1502 × JSO-1503 followed by treatment with PacI and ligation into the backbone to generate pJES-181. The Apop miniplasmid, pJES-180, used to demonstrate the presence of the functional *repA* gene on the phage contig, was constructed by PCR amplification of total DNA extracted from *A. popoffii* with JSO-1452 × JSO-1462 followed by blunt-end ligation with a pRE112-derived PCR fragment (the product of amplification with JSO-931 × JSO-932) encoding Cm^r and the *pir*-dependent *oriR6ky* (12). The ligated product was transformed into *E. coli* TOP10 (Invitrogen), which lacks *pir*, so plasmid replication could be accomplished only via the cloned *repA* gene. The cloned *repA*-containing fragment could be amplified with primers JSO-1522 × JSO-1452.

Q5 high-fidelity polymerase, T4 DNA ligase, and restriction enzymes were obtained from NEB. Constructs were transformed into *E. coli* TOP10 where they were isolated and verified by sequencing. All DNA was introduced by electroporation using 0.1-cm gap cuvettes (USA Scientific) with a Bio-Rad MicroPulser.

(iii) Total protein and HALO Western blotting to assess phage LuxR solubility.

The pJES-179 and pJES-181 plasmids carrying HALO-LuxR_{ΦARM81d} and HALO-LuxR_{Apop}, respectively, were transformed into *E. coli* T7Express *lysY/l^q* (NEB). Overnight cultures were back-diluted 1:100 into 100 ml fresh medium and grown at 34°C to an OD₆₀₀ of ~0.4 to 0.6. IPTG was added to the cultures, and subsequently, they were divided into seven 10-ml aliquots. Six of the aliquots received 75 μM of an AI, and the seventh aliquot received an equivalent volume (0.1% vol/vol) of DMSO. The cultures were shaken at 34°C for an additional 8 h. The cells were pelleted at 4,000 rpm for 10 min and stored at –80°C until further use.

For protein solubility assessment, each pellet was thawed, resuspended, and lysed in 200 μl of HALO-BugBuster lysis buffer (1× BugBuster [Millipore] supplemented with 100 mM NaCl, 1 mM DTT, 1× Halt protease inhibitor cocktail [Thermo], 0.5 mM EDTA, 3.5 μM HaloTag-Alexa Fluor 660 ligand [HALO-Alexa₆₆₀; Promega], and 2 μl ml⁻¹ lysonase bioprocessing reagent [Millipore]). After 30-min incubation at 30°C, half of each lysate was transferred to a new tube on ice (designated whole-cell lysate fraction [WC]), the remainder was subjected to centrifugation at 15,000 × *g* for 30 min at 4°C, and the clarified supernatant was recovered (designated the soluble fraction [S]). Two microliters of each WC sample was diluted into 28 μl of lysis buffer (15-fold dilution), and 5 μl of each S sample was diluted into 25 μl of lysis buffer (6-fold dilution). Ten microliters of 4× Laemmli sample buffer (Bio-Rad) was added to each tube, followed by incubation at 70°C for 15 to 20 min. Ten microliters of each sample was separated by SDS-PAGE in 4 to 20% Mini-Protein TGX gels (Bio-Rad) and stained with Coomassie R-250 for total protein. A second gel, run in parallel, was loaded with the above WC and S samples diluted a further 15-fold and 6-fold, respectively. HALO-Alexa₆₆₀ was imaged using the Cy5 setting of an ImageQuant LAS 4000 (GE). Exposure times never exceeded 15 s. PageRuler Plus prestained protein ladder (Thermo) was used as the marker.

(iv) *A. popoffii* growth and lysis assays. In the *A. popoffii* growth assay, four overnight cultures of *A. popoffii* were back-diluted 1:1,000 into fresh LB broth, and quadruplicate 198-μl aliquots from each culture were dispensed into wells of a 96-well plate containing 2 μl of 10 mM C4-, C6-, or C8-HSL or an equivalent volume of DMSO.

In the lysis assay, four overnight cultures of *A. popoffii*, each prepared from a different single colony, were back-diluted 1:100 into LB broth, grown to an OD₆₀₀ of 1.0, and again back-diluted to an OD₆₀₀ of 0.15. From each culture, duplicate 198- μ l aliquots were dispensed into wells of a 96-well plate (catalog no. 3904; Corning Costar) containing 2 μ l MMC or water. In both the growth and lysis assays, plates were shaken at 30°C, and a BioTek Synergy Neo2 Multi-Mode reader was used to measure OD₆₀₀ every 7.5 min. For the DNase assay, 1 ml of *A. popoffii* culture grown and induced with MMC as described above was allowed to lyse for 5 h at 30°C. The sample was subjected to centrifugation at 15,000 $\times g$ for 2 min, the supernatant was recovered, and DNase (DNA-free kit; Thermo) was added. After incubation at 37°C for 40 min, followed by DNase inactivation, DNA was purified using the Phage DNA isolation kit (Norgen Biotek). PCR amplification of Apop DNA was carried out using primers JSO-1514 \times JSO-1503, and the product was verified by electrophoresis and sequence analysis.

(v) Bioassay for *A. popoffii*-produced AHLs. The bioassay to measure C4-HSL in cell-free culture fluids employed a previously published *E. coli* reporter strain (JP-117) harboring a plasmid with *PrhlA-lux* and a plasmid with pBAD-*rhIR* (13). An overnight culture of JP-117, grown at 30°C, was back-diluted 1:100 in LB broth containing 0.1% L-arabinose and returned to growth at 30°C for 2 h prior to being dispensed into a 96-well plate (150 μ l per well). Cell-free culture fluids from an overnight culture of *A. popoffii* or a non-AHL-producing negative control (*E. coli* T7Express *lysY/l^q*) were prepared by centrifugation (1 min, 15,000 rpm) followed by filtration through 0.22- μ m Costar Spin-X tubes (Corning). Fifty microliters of the resulting cell-free culture fluid was added to each well. For a positive control, a 40 μ M synthetic C4-HSL standard was made in LB, and 50 μ l of this preparation was added to 150- μ l aliquots of the JP-117 reporter strain. Bioluminescence and OD₆₀₀ were measured in a BioTek Synergy Neo2 Multi-Mode reader 7 h later. Relative light units were calculated by dividing the bioluminescence by the OD₆₀₀ of the culture.

(vi) Liquid chromatography-mass spectrometry to detect C4-, C6-, and C8-HSL. Cell-free fluids were prepared from overnight cultures of *A. popoffii* or *E. coli* T7Express *lysY/l^q* (negative control) as described above. Standards containing synthetic C4-, C6-, and C8-HSL (each at 10 μ M) were made in LB broth. Culture fluids and standards were loaded onto a C₁₈ column (1 mm by 75 mm) (ACE 3 C18 PFP, Mac-Mod) using a Shimadzu HPLC system and PAL auto-sampler (20 μ l per injection) at a flow rate of 70 μ l min⁻¹, using a previously published method (4). Full scan MS data were acquired with an LTQ-Orbitrap XL mass spectrometer (Thermo) at a resolution of 30,000 in profile mode from the *m/z* range of 170 to 240. C4-, C6-, and C8-HSL were detected by performing XIC at *m/z* of 172.09736 (C₈H₁₄NO₃), 200.128668 (C₁₀H₁₈NO₃), and 228.15996 (C₁₂H₂₂NO₃), respectively, each with a mass accuracy of ± 10 ppm. Caffeine (2 μ M in 50% acetonitrile with 0.1% formic acid) was injected as a lock mass using an HPLC pump (LC Packing) with a flow splitter and delivered at an effective flow rate of 20 μ l min⁻¹ through a tee at the column outlet. Files were processed in Xcalibur (Thermo).

(vii) Quantification and statistical analysis. Data were recorded, analyzed, and plotted using Microsoft Excel and GraphPad Prism 6. Sequencing results, alignments, phylogenetic trees, plasmid maps, and genomes were assembled using SnapGene (GSL Biotech), UGENE (Unipro), Geneious (Biomatters Limited), and ApE (M. Wayne Davis). Whole-genome alignment was performed using Mauve (14). The phage-like contig from *A. popoffii* CIP 105493 and the contig containing the *Aeromonas* host *luxR* locus are available at NCBI (accession no. [NZ_CDBI01000063.1](https://ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/nucl/NZ_CDBI01000063.1) and [NZ_CDBI01000091.1](https://ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/nucl/NZ_CDBI01000091.1), respectively). The genome sequence of the uncharacterized *Myoviridae* phage (Fig. S1A) is available at NCBI (accession no. [MH622937.1](https://ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/nucl/MH622937.1)) as “*Myoviridae* sp. isolate ctcg_2”. PHASTER analysis was performed at <http://phaster.ca/> using the *A. popoffii* contig as the input (10).

(viii) Data and software availability. All experimental data that support the findings of this study are available from the corresponding author upon request.

SUPPLEMENTAL MATERIAL

Supplemental material for this article may be found at <https://doi.org/10.1128/mBio.00638-19>.

FIG S1, TIF file, 2.1 MB.

FIG S2, TIF file, 1.9 MB.

FIG S3, TIF file, 1.4 MB.

TABLE S1, DOCX file, 0.01 MB.

TABLE S2, DOCX file, 0.02 MB.

TABLE S3, DOCX file, 0.02 MB.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

This work was supported by the Howard Hughes Medical Institute, NIH grant 2R37GM065859, National Science Foundation grant MCB-1713731, and the Max Planck Society-Alexander von Humboldt Foundation (to B.L.B.). J.E.S. was supported by the Department of Defense (DoD) through the National Defense Science & Engineering Graduate Fellowship (NDSEG) Program.

We thank Saw Kyin for work in the Princeton Proteomics & Mass Spectrometry Core, Christopher B. Buck for insight on the *Myoviridae* sp. phage, and all members of the Bassler lab for thoughtful discussions.

We declare that we have no competing financial interests.

REFERENCES

- Papenfort K, Bassler BL. 2016. Quorum sensing signal–response systems in Gram-negative bacteria. *Nat Rev Microbiol* 14:576–588. <https://doi.org/10.1038/nrmicro.2016.89>.
- Hargreaves KR, Kropinski AM, Clokie M. 2014. What does the talking?: quorum sensing signalling genes discovered in a bacteriophage genome. *PLoS One* 9:e85131. <https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0085131>.
- Erez Z, Steinberger-Levy I, Shamir M, Doron S, Stokar-Avihail A, Peleg Y, Melamed S, Leavitt A, Savidor A, Albeck S, Amitai G, Sorek R. 2017. Communication between viruses guides lysis–lysogeny decisions. *Nature* 541:488–493. <https://doi.org/10.1038/nature21049>.
- Silpe JE, Bassler BL. 2019. A host-produced quorum-sensing autoinducer controls a phage lysis-lysogeny decision. *Cell* 176:268–280.e13. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cell.2018.10.059>.
- Papenfort K, Silpe JE, Schramma KR, Cong J-P, Seyedsayamdost MR, Bassler BL. 2017. A *Vibrio cholerae* autoinducer–receptor pair that controls biofilm formation. *Nat Chem Biol* 13:551–557. <https://doi.org/10.1038/nchembio.2336>.
- Dziewit L, Radlinska M. 2016. Two novel temperate bacteriophages co-existing in *Aeromonas* sp. ARM81 – characterization of their genomes, proteomes and DNA methyltransferases. *J Gen Virol* 97:2008–2022. <https://doi.org/10.1099/jgv.0.000504>.
- Swem LR, Swem DL, O’Loughlin CT, Gatmaitan R, Zhao B, Ulrich SM, Bassler BL. 2009. A quorum-sensing antagonist targets both membrane-bound and cytoplasmic receptors and controls bacterial pathogenicity. *Mol Cell* 35:143–153. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.molcel.2009.05.029>.
- Swift S, Karlyshev AV, Fish L, Durant EL, Winson MK, Chhabra SR, Williams P, Macintyre S, Stewart GS. 1997. Quorum sensing in *Aeromonas hydrophila* and *Aeromonas salmonicida*: identification of the LuxRI homologs AhyRI and AsaRI and their cognate N-acylhomoserine lactone signal molecules. *J Bacteriol* 179:5271–5281. <https://doi.org/10.1128/jb.179.17.5271-5281.1997>.
- Huys G, Kämpfer P, Altwegg M, Kersters I, Lamb A, Coopman R, Lüthy-Hottenstein J, Vancanneyt M, Janssen P, Kersters K. 1997. *Aeromonas popoffii* sp. nov., a mesophilic bacterium isolated from drinking water production plants and reservoirs. *Int J Syst Evol Microbiol* 47:1165–1171. <https://doi.org/10.1099/00207713-47-4-1165>.
- Arndt D, Grant JR, Marcu A, Sajed T, Pon A, Liang Y, Wishart DS. 2016. PHASTER: a better, faster version of the PHAST phage search tool. *Nucleic Acids Res* 44:W16–W21. <https://doi.org/10.1093/nar/gkw387>.
- Ortori CA, Dubern J-F, Chhabra SR, Cámara M, Hardie K, Williams P, Barrett DA. 2011. Simultaneous quantitative profiling of N-acyl-homoserine lactone and 2-alkyl-4(1H)-quinolone families of quorum-sensing signaling molecules using LC-MS/MS. *Anal Bioanal Chem* 399:839–850. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s00216-010-4341-0>.
- Edwards RA, Keller LH, Schifferli DM. 1998. Improved allelic exchange vectors and their use to analyze 987P fimbria gene expression. *Gene* 207:149–157. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0378-1119\(97\)00619-7](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0378-1119(97)00619-7).
- Paczkowski JE, Mukherjee S, McCready AR, Cong J-P, Aquino CJ, Kim H, Henke BR, Smith CD, Bassler BL. 2017. Flavonoids suppress *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* virulence through allosteric inhibition of quorum-sensing receptors. *J Biol Chem* 292:4064–4076. <https://doi.org/10.1074/jbc.M116.770552>.
- Darling A. 2004. Mauve: multiple alignment of conserved genomic sequence with rearrangements. *Genome Res* 14:1394–1403. <https://doi.org/10.1101/gr.2289704>.