Effect of pressure on superconductivity in NaAlSi
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Effect of pressure on superconductivity in NaAlSi

The ternary superconductor NaAlSi, isostructural with LiFeAs, the “111” iron pnictide superconductor, is investigated under pressure. The structure remains stable up to 15 GPa. Resistivity and susceptibility measurements show an increase of \( T_c \) up to 2 GPa, followed by a decrease until superconductivity disappears at 4.8 GPa. Band structure calculations show that pressure should have a negligible effect on the electronic structure and the Fermi surface and thus the disappearance of superconductivity under pressure must have a different origin. We compare the electronic structure of NaAlSi under pressure with that of nonsuperconducting isostructural NaAlGe.
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I. INTRODUCTION

The ternary compound NaAlSi has been reported to be a conventional type II superconductor with a transition temperature of 7 K.1 This material crystallizes in the same structure as the “111” Fe-based superconductor LiFeAs (PbFCl-type, space group \( P4/nmm, Z = 2 \)).2 The \( sp^3 \) hybridized Al and Si atoms form layers of edge-sharing tetrahedra, with Na layers sandwiched between them. There are also other known silicided superconductors, i.e., CaAlSi and SrAlSi, which crystallize in a ternary version of the AlB2-type crystal structure, where Al and Si form hexagonal graphite-like layers.3 In terms of the crystal structure, therefore, NaAlSi is comparable to LiFeAs while CaAlSi is comparable to MgB2. NaAlSi can therefore be seen as the non magnetic counterpart of LiFeAs, similar to how superconducting SnO is a nonmagnetic counterpart to isosuperconducting FeSe.4 The analysis of SnO showed that the Fermi surface topology and the degree of nesting are important for the superconductivity, but that spin fluctuations are not essential for the appearance of superconductivity in the “111” structure type; rather, the spin fluctuations increase the coupling and thereby the \( T_c \). Similarly, the Fermi surfaces of NaAlSi and LiFeAs have been shown to have similarities.5

Density functional calculations have suggested that NaAlSi is a self-doped low carrier density semimetal.5 The density of states (DOS) shows a sharp peak within a pseudogap; the Fermi level lies at the upper slope. This peak has been associated with the transport and superconducting properties of NaAlSi.5 NaAlGe, which crystallizes in the same structure and has a similar electronic structure, is not superconducting above 1.8 K. It was suggested that the high-frequency phonons present in NaAlSi due to the lighter mass of Si play an important role for the superconductivity and therefore that NaAlSi is a BCS type superconductor.5 Due to the large energy scale available in pressure experiments, the effect of pressure on the superconducting transition temperature is of special interest. Pressure has been shown to influence \( T_c \) in various ways. In Fe-based superconductors, for example, pressure can increase \( T_c \),6–12 decrease \( T_c \),13,14 or induce superconductivity.15–17 In LiFeAs, however, \( T_c \) decreases with applied pressure.14 In CaAlSi, pressures up to 2 GPa increase \( T_c \), but in isostructural SrAlSi it is decreased.18 Pressure can also induce structural phase transitions which can affect the superconducting properties dramatically, as in FeSe where a structural phase transition destroys the superconductivity.6

In this paper, we report susceptibility and resistivity measurements performed on NaAlSi under pressure, showing an increase of \( T_c \) up to 2 GPa followed by a decrease until superconductivity disappears rather abruptly at around 4.8 GPa. X-ray diffraction experiments under pressure show that the crystal structure remains stable up to 14.77 GPa, thus the disappearance of superconductivity is occurring within the 111 structure type regime. The effect of pressure on the electronic structure and the Fermi surface topology is investigated with density functional theory.

II. EXPERIMENTAL

NaAlSi was prepared by reacting the elements with a considerable excess of sodium, in the ratio of Na:Al:Si = 3:1:1. Since elemental sodium is very sensitive to air and moisture, all operations were performed under dried argon (Schlenktechnique or glove box with H2O, O2 < 0.1 ppm; MB 150B-G-II, M. Braun GmbH, Munich, Germany).

The starting materials (1–2 g) were placed in a tantalum tube, which was sealed under argon with an arc-welder. In order to prevent oxidation, the tantalum tube was encapsulated in a glass jacket under argon. The reaction mixture was heated with a rate of 50 K/h up to 970 K, annealed at this temperature for three days, and then cooled to room temperature at a rate of 50 K/h. In a second step, the excess sodium was distilled off the sample under a dynamic vacuum (10−9 mbar) at 500 K. Blue-metallic crystalline NaAlSi was obtained as a single phase product. The phase purity and crystal structure of the sample was verified by powder and single crystal x-ray diffraction using a D8 Focus diffractometer with Cu Kα1 radiation and a graphite diffracted beam monochromator, and
a SMART-APEX-I CCD x-ray diffractometer with graphite-monochromated Mo K$_\alpha$ radiation, respectively (both Bruker AXS, Karlsruhe, Germany). The structure was refined from the single crystal data with a particular focus on the site occupation factors (SOF) of Na, Al, and Si. We found NaAlSi to crystallize in space group $P4/nmm$ (129), with $a = 4.1247(4)$ Å, $c = 7.368(1)$ Å; Na on position 2c [$z = 0.6346(2)$], Al on 2a and Si on 2c [$z = 0.2076(2)$]. The structure of the sample was found to be fully ordered, and no deficiency on the sodium site was observed.

High-pressure angle dispersive x-ray diffraction studies were performed at room temperature at beam line 01C2 of the NSRRC (Taiwan). For these studies, the samples were loaded in a diamond anvil cell (DAC) with mineral oil as the pressure transmitting medium. Susceptibility measurements were performed in a CuBe cell, allowing hydrostatic pressure up to 1 GPa to be obtained, as well as in a SiC-anvil high-pressure cell made from a non magnetic hardened Cu-Ti alloy equipped with SiC anvils. The diameter of the flat working surface of the SiC anvil was 0.8 mm and the diameter of the hole in the gasket was 0.3 mm. The cell allows for obtaining quasi hydrostatic pressures up to 12 GPa. The hole was filled with the NaAlSi sample and Daphne oil as a pressure transmitting medium. Pressure was measured by the Ruby scale from small scattered chips; the pressure inhomogeneity was estimated as $\lesssim 0.5$ GPa across the sample. In the hydrostatic CuBe cell, the pressure was determined by the $T_c$ of a small amount of included Sn. Both cells were mounted in a SQUID-magnetometer (MPMS-XL-5, Quantum Design). $T_c$ was determined from the onset of the superconducting transition curve, i.e., from the intersection of two extrapolated straight lines, one drawn through the curve in the normal state and one drawn through the steepest part of the curve in the superconducting state. A DAC was used for electrical resistance measurements under high pressures. For insulating the gasket, a cubic BN/epoxy mixture was used, and, with platinum foil for the electrical leads. The diameter of the flat working surface of the diamond anvil was 0.5 mm and the diameter of the hole in the gasket was 0.07 mm. The hole was filled with the polycrystalline sample. The resistance was measured with a DC current source and voltmeter with the electrodes in the van der Pauw geometry. The pressure was measured at room temperature and below via the Ruby scale from small scattered chips; the pressure inhomogeneity was estimated as about 0.05 GPa across the sample. The temperature was measured with a calibrated Si diode with an accuracy of 0.1 K attached to the DAC.

III. COMPUTATIONAL DETAILS

The calculations where performed in the framework of density functional theory (DFT) using the WIEN2K code with a full-potential linearized augmented plane-wave and local orbitals [FP-LAPW + lo] basis together with the PBE parametrization of the GGA as the exchange-correlation functional. The plane-wave cutoff parameter $R_{MT}K_{\text{MAX}}$ was set to eight and the irreducible Brillouin zone was sampled by 2772 $k$ points. For each pressure, we used the experimental lattice constants and performed a minimization of forces for all atoms. The experimental values of the atomic position...
parameters agree well with the values obtained from the Rietveld refinements of our data, which were performed up to 2.2 GPa. (For pressures above 2.2 GPa, the quantitative refinements of the atomic positions was not reliable due to an unfavorable signal to noise ratio.)

IV. RESULTS

Figure 1 shows the results of the dc susceptibility measurements. The $T_c$ of the sample at ambient pressure is with 7.4 K, slightly above the previously reported $T_c$. $T_c$ increases up to a pressure of 2 GPa and then decreases until it is fully suppressed at 4.8 GPa. The maximum $T_c$ obtained is 8.6 K in the hydrostatic pressure cell. Superconductivity is restored after releasing the pressure, but with a lower $T_c$. The reason for the decrease in $T_c$ is not known but it may be due to the introduction of defects.

The resistivity measurements show metallic behavior. There is already no sign of superconductivity at 3.5 GPa. At lower pressures the samples show a drop in resistivity at about 7.3 K (0.5 GPa) and 8.8 K (3.5 GPa). Figure 2 shows that the residual resistance ratio (RRR) decreases with increasing pressure. This is most straightforwardly interpreted as being due to an increasing number of scattering centers, i.e., defects or dislocations induced at high pressures. In general, the trend in NaAlSi is that the resistance increases with pressure. This is unusual because in metals, the resistivity usually decreases with pressure. This can again be interpreted as implying that pressure induces scattering defects into the sample. Alternatively, were the material near an electronic instability, it could indicate a significant change in the carrier concentration or type under pressure. We do not observe a metal to semiconductor transition in the resistivity up to 9 GPa, therefore a transition to a semiconducting state can be ruled out as a possibility for the reason for the disappearance of superconductivity.

X-ray diffraction experiments were performed up to 14.77 GPa. Up to this pressure, no structural phase transition was observed, the NaAlSi structure is maintained (see Fig. 3). All patterns were refined with the Rietveld method in the program FULLPROF. Preferred orientation due to the plate-like habit of the material was included; consistent with expectations, the amount of preferred orientation in the DAC increased with pressure. The lattice constants and the cell volume decrease smoothly but not linearly with increasing pressures (see Fig. 4). No significant differences were observed in the diffraction patterns between pressures of 4 and 6 GPa where the superconductivity is suppressed. Refinements of internal coordinates were considered reliable up to pressures of 2.2 GPa (see Sec. V).

V. DISCUSSION

Band structure calculations were performed for three different pressures: 0.25 GPa, 2.2 GPa, the pressure closest to $T_{c,max}$, and 5.2 GPa, where superconductivity is no longer present. The lattice constants obtained by high-pressure x-ray diffraction were employed, and the structure was relaxed through minimization of forces in order to obtain the internal atomic coordinates. The parameters employed are presented in Table I. Until 2.2 GPa, which is the pressure up to which reliable refinements of the internal coordinates were possible, the experimental and calculated values agree well.

Figure 5 shows the calculated DOS of NaAlSi at different pressures. No obvious change of the DOS at the Fermi level is observed, although bands away from $E_F$ are shifted in a rigid-band model fashion. The calculations therefore suggest that changes in the DOS under pressure can neither explain the disappearance of the superconductivity near 5 GPa in NaAlSi, nor the increase of $T_c$ up to 2 GPa; if the DOS were the dominant determinant of the $T_c$ in NaAlSi then in the BCS picture the DOS and $T_c$ would change in parallel, which is not the case here.

Figure 6 compares the band structures of NaAlSi and NaAlGe. For NaAlGe the experimental lattice constants and coordinates were employed. The character of the bands was

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>P (GPa)</th>
<th>$a$ (Å)</th>
<th>$c$ (Å)</th>
<th>$z_{Na}$ (calc)</th>
<th>$z_{Na}$ (exp)</th>
<th>$z_{Si}$ (calc)</th>
<th>$z_{Si}$ (exp)</th>
<th>Al-Al (Å)</th>
<th>Al-Si (Å)</th>
<th>Si-Si (Å)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0.25</td>
<td>4.16</td>
<td>7.40</td>
<td>0.63456</td>
<td>0.63275</td>
<td>0.20738</td>
<td>0.20999</td>
<td>2.93473</td>
<td>2.5983</td>
<td>4.15043</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.2</td>
<td>4.10</td>
<td>7.25</td>
<td>0.63515</td>
<td>0.63094</td>
<td>0.21150</td>
<td>0.21234</td>
<td>2.89850</td>
<td>2.5635</td>
<td>4.09910</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5.2</td>
<td>4.05</td>
<td>7.12</td>
<td>0.63478</td>
<td>0.62182</td>
<td>0.21538</td>
<td>0.19884</td>
<td>2.86247</td>
<td>2.4680</td>
<td>4.04815</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

TABLE I. Lattice constants and internal coordinates employed for the band structure calculations as well as experimental interatomic distances.
investigated for the ambient pressure calculations. The Al-s bands are shown in red and the Si-px,y bands in blue. The Al-s band lies below the Si/Ge-px,y band. Pressure moves the bands a bit closer together, but the effect is very small. Therefore the calculations confirm the experimental results of the resistivity measurements, that the reason for the disappearance of superconductivity cannot be due to a metal insulator transition, because the system can only become semiconducting if the Al-s band is pushed above the Si-px,y band with pressure, which appears very unlikely. Due to very small Al-Al distances in the structure (2.93 Å), the bandwidth of the Al-s band is very large. This strong bonding is probably the reason why the structure is stable over a wide range of pressure. The antibonding band along the Γ−M line moves closer to EF with pressure. In contrast to previous calculations, the current calculations do not support the presence of an electron pocket on the Γ−M line due to this antibonding band. Its position is strongly dependent on the z coordinate of the Si and the lattice constants. The lattice constants used in our calculations are based on our experimental results. In addition, we optimized the lattice constants and positions with DFT and found the values to be in good agreement. The z coordinates used were determined with DFT and found to be in good agreement with the experimental values up to 2.2 GPa (see Table I). Only minor changes in the Fermi surface topology occur with pressure, and no significant changes in nesting properties are observed. Furthermore, the band structures of NaAlSi and NaAlGe are very similar and the DOS at EF is comparable in both compounds. This again implies that the DOS is not the primary determinant of Tc in these materials. The influence of pressure on the superconducting transition temperature may therefore be a due to a change in phonon frequencies or electron-phonon coupling, consistent with the proposal for the reason why NaAlGe does not superconduct.1 The band character plots indicate that NaAlSi has a stronger sp hybridization than NaAlGe. This indicates that the strength of hybridization might influence the superconducting properties. However we do not observe a change of hybridization strength in NaAlSi with pressure.

VI. SUMMARY AND CONCLUSIONS

In summary, we have shown that the Tc of NaAlSi increases first with pressure and is then suppressed rather quickly near 5 GPa. This behavior cannot be attributed to a structural phase transition, because none is observed, but the possibility that there may be a subtle structural distortion within the compound without a change in structure type cannot be excluded by the current data. Although pressure has a strong effect on the superconductivity, the calculations show that it should not have a significant effect on the electronic structure. Similarly, comparison of the calculated electronic structures of superconducting NaAlSi and non superconducting NaAlGe, showed that the electronic structure cannot explain the different behavior regarding superconductivity. Further experimental and theoretical work will be required to determine what the dominant factors are in determining Tc in these main-group nonmagnetic analogs of the 111 pnictide superconductors.
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