

# Erratum: “Effect of dimensionality on the continuum percolation of overlapping hyperspheres and hypercubes. II. Simulation results and analyses” [J. Chem. Phys. 137, 074106 (2012)]

Cite as: J. Chem. Phys. 141, 159901 (2014); <https://doi.org/10.1063/1.4898557>

Submitted: 06 October 2014 . Accepted: 07 October 2014 . Published Online: 16 October 2014

S. Torquato, and Y. Jiao



View Online



Export Citation



CrossMark

## ARTICLES YOU MAY BE INTERESTED IN

[Effect of dimensionality on the continuum percolation of overlapping hyperspheres and hypercubes. II. Simulation results and analyses](#)

The Journal of Chemical Physics **137**, 074106 (2012); <https://doi.org/10.1063/1.4742750>

[Effect of dimensionality on the continuum percolation of overlapping hyperspheres and hypercubes](#)

The Journal of Chemical Physics **136**, 054106 (2012); <https://doi.org/10.1063/1.3679861>

[Connectivity percolation of polydisperse anisotropic nanofillers](#)

The Journal of Chemical Physics **134**, 094902 (2011); <https://doi.org/10.1063/1.3559004>

## Lock-in Amplifiers up to 600 MHz

starting at

\$6,210



 Zurich  
Instruments

Watch the Video



## Erratum: “Effect of dimensionality on the continuum percolation of overlapping hyperspheres and hypercubes. II. Simulation results and analyses” [J. Chem. Phys. **137**, 074106 (2012)]

S. Torquato<sup>1,2</sup> and Y. Jiao<sup>2</sup>

<sup>1</sup>*Department of Chemistry, Department of Physics, Princeton Center for Theoretical Science, and Program in Applied and Computational Mathematics, Princeton University, Princeton, New Jersey 08544, USA*

<sup>2</sup>*Princeton Institute for the Science and Technology of Materials, Princeton University, Princeton, New Jersey 08544, USA*

(Received 6 October 2014; accepted 7 October 2014; published online 16 October 2014)

[<http://dx.doi.org/10.1063/1.4898557>]

In Table I and the caption of Fig. 8 of Ref. [1](#), the numerical value of the percolation threshold  $\eta_c$  of three-dimensional overlapping spheres as determined via the rescaled-particle method was given as 0.34289(2). The correct value should be  $\eta_c = 0.34189(2)$ . We note that this typographical error does not affect any of the results, conclusions or figures in the paper.

<sup>1</sup>S. Torquato and Y. Jiao, *J. Chem. Phys.* **137**, 074106 (2012).