

EXPLICIT PROOF OF CONSTRAINTS AND EFFECTIVE THEORIES PRESENTED IN TABLE I

In this appendix, we give a general derivation the constraints and effective theories shown in Table I. On a C_m invariant line in a C_n invariant system (m being a factor of n), each band corresponds to a 1D representation of cyclic group C_m (spinless), or double cyclic group C_m^D (spinful). In either case, an eigenvalue of C_m is in the form:

$$\alpha_p = \exp(i2\pi p/m + iF\pi/m), \quad (\text{S1})$$

where $p = 0, 1, \dots, m-1$. Now suppose the C_m eigenvalues of the conduction and valence bands are

$$\begin{aligned} u_c &= \alpha_p, \\ u_v &= \alpha_q. \end{aligned} \quad (\text{S2})$$

We have if $p = q$, then the two bands will *not* cross on this C_m -invariant line because of the presence of a symmetry allowed a constant off-diagonal term $\delta|\psi_u\rangle\langle\psi_v| + h.c.$ which can always open a gap. If $p \neq q$, then the matrix representation of C_m , \mathcal{C}_m is given by

$$\mathcal{C}_m = \exp(i\pi \frac{F+p+q}{m}) \exp(i\pi \frac{p-q}{m} \sigma_z). \quad (\text{S3})$$

The transform of $H_{eff}(\mathbf{q})$ under C_m is given by

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{C}_m H_{eff}(\mathbf{q}) \mathcal{C}_m^{-1} &= g(\mathbf{q}) \sigma_z + f(\mathbf{q}) \exp(i\pi \frac{p-q}{m} \sigma_z) \sigma_+ \exp(-i\pi \frac{p-q}{m} \sigma_z) + h.c. \\ &= g(\mathbf{q}) \sigma_z + f(\mathbf{q}) e^{-i2\pi(p-q)/m} \sigma_+ + h.c. \end{aligned} \quad (\text{S4})$$

In the basis of q_{\pm} , the $R_m \mathbf{q}$ is given by

$$R_m(q_+, q_-) = (q_+ e^{i2\pi/m}, q_- e^{-i2\pi/m}). \quad (\text{S5})$$

Substituting Eq.(S4,S5) into Eq.(1) in main text, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} e^{-i2\pi(p-q)/m} f(q_+, q_-) &= f(q_+ e^{i2\pi/m}, q_- e^{-i2\pi/m}), \\ g(q_+, q_-) &= g(q_+ e^{i2\pi/m}, q_- e^{-i2\pi/m}). \end{aligned} \quad (\text{S6})$$

This is the general constraint on f, g by C_m symmetry for a given pair of (u_c, u_v) . From Eq.(S6) we learn that the forms of f and g only depend on $p-q$, or u_c/u_v . These results comprise the central column of Table I.

To generate the last column from the general constraints Eq.(S6), we start from an expansion of

$$f(q_+, q_-) = \sum_{n_1 n_2} A_{n_1 n_2} q_+^{n_1} q_-^{n_2}, \quad (\text{S7})$$

where $A_{n_1 n_2}$ is an arbitrary complex coefficient. Eq.(S6) gives $A_{n_1 n_2} = 0$ if $n_2 - n_1 \neq p - q \pmod{m}$. Then we pick up (n_1, n_2) with smallest $n_1 + n_2$ and nonzero $A_{n_1 n_2}$ to obtain the last column of Table I.

Physically, one can easily understand Table I as the consequence of the ‘semi-conservation’ of total angular momentum J_z . Here ‘semi-conservation’ means that J_z is only conserved up to a multiple of m . This is because in a lattice the continuous rotation symmetry downgrades to discrete rotation symmetry of order m . If $u_c/u_v = e^{i2\pi(p-q)/m}$, it means the conduction and valence bands differ by $\delta J_z = p - q$. Therefore, the off-diagonal term of the effective Hamiltonian, $|\psi_c\rangle\langle\psi_v|$, must couple a k_-^{p-q} or k_+^{m-p+q} to conserve the total angular momentum up to a multiple of m .